

General Authority & Chief Executive Consent: State's Water Resource

Evidence of the chief executive administering the *Water Act 2000* that a development application for material change of use of premises, reconfiguring a lot or operational works (other than operational works that take or interfere with water) may proceed in the absence of an allocation of or an entitlement to the State's water resource.

This general authority provides the satisfaction of the chief executive administering the *Water Act 2000* that an application for development approval, **other than** an application for operational works that take or interfere with water, can proceed in the absence of an allocation of or an entitlement to the State's water resource.

This general authority satisfies the requirements of section 264 of the *Sustainable Planning Act 2009* (SPA) and section 14 and schedule 14 item 15(b) of the Sustainable Planning Regulation 2009 (SP Regulation), but relates **only** to development applications under the SPA for:


1. material change of use of premises; or
2. reconfiguring a lot; or
3. operational works **other than** operational works to take or interfere with water as outlined in Schedule 3, Part 1, Table 4, Item 3 of the SP Regulation.

A copy of this general authority must be attached to the relevant development application.

This general authority is also taken to be the chief executive's written consent under section 967(3) of the *Water Act 2000* to an application for a development permit, **other than** applications for operational works that take or interfere with water.

To be clear, this general authority **does not** provide the satisfaction of the chief executive administering the *Water Act 2000* that an application for development approval for operational works that take or interfere with water (as outlined in Schedule 3, Part 1, Table 4, Item 3 of the SP Regulation) can proceed in the absence of an allocation of or an entitlement to the State's water resource, **nor does** it provide the written consent of the chief executive to an application for a development permit for operational works that take or interfere with water.

In addition, the giving of this general authority and consent **does not** prejudice the chief executive's ability to be or not be satisfied that a subsequent application for development approval for operational works as outlined in Schedule 3, Part 1, Table 4, Item 3 of the SP Regulation that takes or interferes with water can proceed in the absence of an allocation of, or an entitlement to, the State's water resource.



Terry Wall
A/Director General
Department of Environment and Resource Management

19 JUL 2011

Tomorrow's Queensland:
strong, green, smart, healthy and fair